

Abstract Proceedings of the Travancore Legislative Council.

8 March 1891 Sunday.

9 March 1891

The Council resumed its meeting.

Present

MR. R. S. Srinivasan Iyer Council President.

Mr. F. Watts

MR. R. T. Rajaraja Rao Iyer

MR. R. P. S. Srinivasan Iyer

MR. R. T. Venkataswami Mudaliar Iyer

MR. R. K. Srinivasan Iyer

MR. R. Srinivasan Iyer

The question of retaining section 28
was discussed and resolved that it be omitted.

MR. R. T. Rajaraja Rao Iyer
moved that the explanation appended to
section 28 "in this section 'village' includes
'village lands' and expressed by the Select Com-
mittee be retained.

Carried.

20

Mr. Rajaram P. Anayal proposed that the powers of courts (Section 27) struck out by the Select Committee be restored.
Carried.

Section 28. Mr. P. Tharuvu P. Anayal proposed that the modification made by the Select Committee be struck out.
Carried.

Section 51. Mr. Rajaram P. Anayal proposed that this section be restored as in the Code with the modification "such summons shall be served by a police officer or subject to such rules consistent with this Code as the Government may prescribe in this behalf, or by another public servant or class of public servants".
Carried.

Section 52. The question of requiring the Police officer to warn the man to sign the summons was discussed and it was resolved to retain the Select Committee's amendment, namely, to omit the word "be" before "by the serving officer".

Section 45. Mr. P. Tharuvu P. Anayal proposed that the word "without delay" be substituted for "forthwith" in the Select Committee's report.
Carried.

It was also proposed by him that the addition made by the Select Committee at the end of the section be omitted, namely - "The report shall also contain an inventory of the articles found on the arrested person and kept in custody under Section 50".

Carried.

Section 46. It was proposed that the words
"setting forth the grounds of the discharge" be omitted
Carried.

Section 57. The proposal of Mr. Bevisley, the
superintendent of Police, to make it compulsory on
the doctor to attend was considered and rejected.

Section 96. Mr. R. Rajarajan, P.M. Aruppukottai
proposed that the words "in recognition of the great
services specially rendered in their behalf by our
Government" be omitted.
Carried.