ARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

question was one which affected the privileges of the House and arose out of the report which appeared in a newspaper wherein the speeches made in this House were referred.

Mr. Speaker: I made a decision that it need not be referred. Of course, if any doubt arises it can be brought to the notice of the Speaker.

OBITUARY REFERENCE

മി. സ്പീക്കർ: ത്രീ. അമ്പാട്ട് ഈ ച്ചരമേനോൻ ഈ മാസം 3-ാംതീയതി പരലോകപ്രാപ്തനായ വിവരം ബഹ്രമാനപ്പെട്ട സഭാംഗങ്ങളും അറിഞ്ഞിരിക്കു മല്ലോ. ത്രീ. മേനോൻ 1924 മുതൽ രാഷ്ട്രീയ കാര്യങ്ങളിൽ സജീവമായി പ്രവത്തിച്ചുപോന്ന ഒയ മാന്യ വ്യക്തിയായിരുന്നു. കൊച്ചി ലജിസ്റ്റെറീവു് കൗൺസിലിന്റെ പ്രാരംഭകാലം മുതൽ മൊംബറായിരുന്ന അദ്ദേഹം തിരുവി താം കൂർ-കൊച്ചി നിയമസഭയിലേക്കും തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കപ്പെടുകയുണ്ടായി. അനാരോഗ്യം മൂലം അദ്ദേഹം രാഷ്ട്രീയമണ്ഡലത്തിൽനിന്നും വിരമിച്ചു വിശ്രമിക്കുകയായിരുന്നു. ചിററൂർ മുനിസിപ്പാലിററിയുടെ പ്രവത്തനത്തിലും വികസനത്തിലും ഉൽസുകനായിരുന്ന ത്രീ. മേനോൻ ആ സ്ഥാപനവുമായി അവസാന നിമിഷം വരെ ബന്ധം പുലർത്തിച്ചോന്നിരുന്നു.

പരേതനോടുള്ള ബഹുമാനസൂചകമായി ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട അംഗങ്ങാം അല്പ സമയം എഴുന്നോ വനിന്ന് നമ്മുടെ അനുശോചനം രേഖപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതു യുക്ത മായിരിക്കം

(രണ്ടു മിനിട്ടനേരം എല്ലാപേരും എഴുനേറവം നിന്നു).

Ruling re: Scope of discussion at a Particular Stage of a Bill. Mr. Speaker: Order, order.

During the last session of the Assembly when the Minister for Education moved that the Kerala University Bill as reported by the Select Committee be taken into consideration, an amendment was moved by Shri P. T. Chacko that the Bill as reported by the Select Committee be circulated for the purpose of eliciting opinion thereon, and he had not concluded his speech when the House rose for that day. While Shri Chacko was speaking on the amendment, points were raised as to what should be the scope of discussion on such an amendment and whether the members could traverse the entire field regarding the principles of the Bill again. In this connection, I would like to bring to the notice of the House the following rulings of the Central Assembly and Lok Sabha regarding the scope of discussion on a motion for circulation of a Bill as reported by the Select Committee:

- 1. Motion for 'the circulation of the Bill for eliciting opinion' moved after the Bill has been considered by a Select Committee does not stand in the same position as a motion of that character made at an earlier stage of the Bill. The House in such a case stands committed to the principle of the Bill. (Legislative Assembly Debate, 20th January 1922, Pp.1793—94).
- 2. When motion for circulation is moved after the Bill has emerged from the Select Committee, the principle of the Bill is not open to discussion. (L. A. Deb. 12th April 1934, P. 3664).

3. Regarding the scope of discussion on a Bill as reported by Select Committee, the Speaker made the following observation in connection with the discussion on the essential goods (Declaration and Regulation of Tax on Sale or Purchase) Bill, as reported by the Select Committee:

"I do not think we could go again into the Whole Bill. The matter was discussed before it went to the Select Committee. The speeches will have to be confined to the Bill as it is reported by the Select Committee and more particularly to the changes made by the Select Committee during the course of its deliberations. The whole legislation is not open for discussion" (House of the people Debate Part II, dated the 25th July 1952, Column 4573).

The other day I said the same thing. Later on, I contacted the Speaker of the Lok Sabha and he also enlightened me on the same point regarding this subject.

Papers laid on the Table.

Minister for Law (Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer):

I lay on the table the Travancore-Cochin Irrigation (Amendment) Ordinance, 1957 (No. 2 of 1957) promulgated by the Governor on the 1st August 1957.

M. nister for Transport and Labour (Shri T. V. Thomas):

According to Section 133(3) of the Motor Vehicles Act 1939 (Central Act IV of 1939), the rules made under it have to be laid for a period of 14 days before the sessi n of the Legislative Assembly. As it has not been possible to have the Kerala Motor Vehicles (State Transport undertakings) Rules 1957 placed for the full period of 14 days during the last session this item has to be placed before the Assembly again. Accordingly I lay on the table the Kerala Motor Vehicles (State Transport undertakings) Rules, 1957 as required under Section 133(3) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, (Cantral Act IV of 1939).

Minister for Transport and Labour (ShriT V. Thomas):

According to Section 11(2) of the Madras Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, every notification issued under Section 11 (1) shall be laid before the session of the Legislative Assembly for a period of 2 months. As it has not been possible to place this for the full period of two months during the last session, this item has to be placed again. Accordingly I place on the Table the Notification issued under section 11 of the Travancore-Cochin Vehicles Taxation Act, 1950 and Section 11(1) of the Madras Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1931.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION RE: CLASH IN ALLEPPEY

മി. സ്റ്റീക്കർ. ആർഡർ, ആർഡർ. അടുത്തതായി ഒരു അഡ്ജോൺ മെൻര് ചോഷൻ ഉണ്ട്. ഇത് പ്രത്യേക ഒരു സ്ഥലത്തുണ്ടായ സംഭവത്തെപ്പററി യാണം. അതിനെപ്പററിയുള്ള വിവരങ്ങളം വേണമെങ്കിൽ നൽകാവുന്നതാണം.