

[ശ്രീ. എം. നാരായണക്കുറുപ്പ്]

മുനിസിഫ് കോടതി, മുൻപു തലശ്ശേരി ഡിസ്ട്രിക്ട് കോടതിയുടെ കീഴിലായിരുന്നു. മലബാർ മൂന്നു റവന്യൂ ഡിവിഷനായി ഭാഗിച്ചതിന്റെ ഫലമായി ഇതിനെ കോഴിക്കോടു ജില്ലയിലുൾപ്പെടുത്തി. അങ്ങനെ തലശ്ശേരി ഡിസ്ട്രിക്ട് കോടതിയുടെ കീഴിലുണ്ടായിരുന്ന കുറുപ്പനാടു മുൻസിഫ് കോടതിയിലെ റിക്കാർഡുകൾ കക്ഷികൾ ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടു ചെയ്യുമ്പോൾ കോഴിക്കോടു യച്ചു എന്നു പറയും. കോഴിക്കോടു ചെയ്യുമ്പോൾ അവിടെ കിട്ടിയിട്ടില്ലെന്നു പറയും. ഇതുകൊണ്ടു ജനങ്ങൾക്കു് പല വിഷമങ്ങളും നേരിടുന്നുണ്ടു്. സർ, ജനങ്ങൾക്കു നേരിടുന്ന ഈ ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടുകൾ പരിഹരിക്കുന്നതിനു് ഉടനടി വേണ്ടതു ചെയ്യണം. ആ സംഗതി മന്ത്രിയുടെ ശ്രദ്ധയിൽപ്പെടുത്തുകയാണു് ഇതിന്റെ ഉദ്ദേശം.

Shri V. R. Krishna Iyer : I shall refer this matter to the High Court and the District Court. On getting information I shall give a reply.

Shri K. Chandrasekharan : I have also sent in a notice under Rule 66.

Mr. Speaker : Only one notice under Rule 66 can be allowed on a day.

Petitions.

Shri E. P. Poullose : Under Rule 109 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business, I had presented a petition on behalf of R. Parameswaran Pillai and others regarding the Kerala Education Bill. I have received a communication from the Secretary that the Speaker has withheld permission. It is an important matter regarding the Education Bill which is the next item of business in the agenda for today. I submit that before arriving at any decision the discussion cannot be taken up in fairness to those petitions. I request the Chair to make a decision now on the matter.

Mr. Speaker : My consent has been withheld and it has already been communicated to you.

Shri E. P. Poullose : I thought that refusing consent and withholding consent are different. If the Speaker refused to give consent I would not have made this remark.

Mr. Speaker : Both are the same.

Ruling.

Order, order. Yesterday a hon. Member raised a point that in respect of the Kerala Education Bill the evidence recorded by the Select Committee has not been made available to all the members of the House and cited a ruling given in 1934 by the Central Assembly President.

A reading of that ruling goes to show that in respect of this matter, as a matter of practical convenience, the Select Committee should have decided what documents and information made available to them, should be made available to all the members of the House so that such documents may be printed and circulated to members along with the report of the Select Committee. In the case under question, there was no such direction by the

Committee and in keeping with the ruling cited, it may not be proper to circulate such materials in the absence of any direction from the Select Committee.

That ruling clearly and definitely says that it is the duty of the Select Committee to say that such and such documents should be made available to all the members. If the evidence recorded by the Select Committee is to be circulated among all the members it would have suggested like that.

THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT.

Mr. Speaker : The Business Advisory Committee met at 1 p. m. on Monday, the 26th August 1957 in the Assembly Chamber and agreed to the allocation of time in regard to Government Legislative Business as mentioned below:—

The Kerala Education Bill (Second Reading)	—	10 hrs. 15 minutes.
Do. Third Reading	—	1 hour
The Kerala Appropriation (No.3) Bill	—	15 minutes
The Kerala Maternity Benefit Bill	—	1 hour
Do. (Third Reading)	—	30 minutes
The Kerala Compensation to Tenants Improvements Bill	—	30 minutes (exclud- ing the time taken already)
The Travancore-Cochin Insol- vency (Amendment) Bill	—	15 minutes
The Kerala Namboodiri Bill	—	30 minutes
The Kerala Anatomy Bill	—	15 minutes

Shri P. P. Ummer Koya : I move that this House agrees with the allocation of time in regard to the Bills made by the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. Speaker : I think nobody opposes the motion. I take it as passed.

Shri T. A. Thomman : I wish to move an amendment that the time allocated for the Education Bill is not enough and may be extended. Under the Rules "As soon as may be after the report has been made to the House a motion may be moved by a Member of the Committee designated by the Speaker "that this House agrees with the allocation of time proposed by the Committee in regard to such and such Bill or Bills or other Government Business", and if such a motion is accepted by the House, it shall take effect as if it were an Order of the House.

Provided that an amendment may be moved that the report may be referred back to the Committee either without limitation or with reference to any particular matter;

Provided further that not more than half an hour shall be allotted for the discussion of the motion and no member shall speak for more than five minutes on such motion."