

which no sanction had been given. An excess amount of Rs. 182 required for the purpose was also met by diversion after obtaining Government sanction. It took time for Government to consider the matter and give sanction and by that time the year elapsed and the grant lapsed. So, last year's payment was to be met from the provisions made for the current year. So, this additional amount was required to meet the expenditure for the current year. I request that the House will pass this grant.

The motion was put and carried and the grant was made.

DEMAND XXXV-MISCELLANEOUS DEPARTMENTS (c) STORES
PURCHASE COMMITTEE.

RAJYASEVAPRAVINA G. PARAMESWARAN PILLAI: Sir, I move for a supplementary grant for Rs. 750 under 35, Miscellaneous Departments (c) Stores Purchase Committee—Contingencies of the Repair Section. Only a provision of Rs. 937 had been made in the current year's budget under Contingencies of the Repair Section attached to the Stores Purchase Committee Office. This provision included Rs. 720 towards pay of two carpenters and one blacksmith. The balance now available for purchase of raw materials, implements, tools, etc., is only Rs. 217; it is proposed to provide an additional allotment of Rs. 750 to meet the additional expenditure.

The motion was put to vote and carried and the grant was made.

LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS.

THE COURT FEES ACT (AMENDMENT) BILL.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Legal Remembrancer to Government*): Sir, I rise to present the report of the Select Committee on the Travancore Court Fees Act (Amendment) Bill. The main change effected by the Committee is that it has omitted Clause 3 relating to Vakils' fees for applications made to Courts. There is no such fee levied in other parts of India. I beg to move that the report made by the Select Committee be taken into consideration.

MR. A. LAKSHMINARAYANA AYYAR: I second it.

MR. K. G. GOVINDAN: If this Amendment Bill is passed, may I know, the number and categories of applications that would not come within the exception?

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: That is a hypothetical question, Sir. My honourable friend wants to know the number of applications that would not come within the purview of the Bill.

MR. K. G. GOVINDAN: Sir, I do not want that.

MR. K. G. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI: Applications, for instance, for adjournment would not come within the scope of the Bill.

MR. K. G. GOVINDAN: If this amendment is passed, may I know the income which the Government is likely to obtain?